

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	. F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/643,344	08/19/2003		Norman L. Weinberg	ESP:106e US	3828
21807	7590	03/21/2006	·	EXAMINER	
	OM. ELLI	-	WILKINS III, HARRY D		
SIMPSON & SIMPSON, PLLC 5555 MAIN STREET WILLIAMSVILLE, NY 14221				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1742	

DATE MAILED: 03/21/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	-171			
		10/643,344	WEINBERG ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_			
		Harry D. Wilkins, III	1742				
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be solved and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDON	DN. timely filed m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
,		action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowar		rosecution as to the merits is				
,	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	153 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 28-30 and 33-48 is/are pending in the	application.					
,—	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw						
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) 28-30 and 33-48 is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on 19 August 2003 is/are:	a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected	I to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is o	bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Offic	e Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).				
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	•					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	• •					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		ed in this National Stage	•			
	application from the International Bureau	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receiv	ed.				
			•				
Attachmen	t(s)						
1) 🛭 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summar	y (PTO-413)				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	Date				
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/643,344 Page 2

Art Unit: 1742

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 42-48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the elements. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted element is: the fourth power supply. Applicant's disclosure shows the circuit diagram (figure 4) which requires a fourth power supply which would be considered a third lower voltage direct current supply (VS₃).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pons et al (WO 90/10935) in view of Spaepen et al (US 3,944,473).

Pons et al teach (see pages 19-29) an apparatus for electrolyzing water for the production of hydrogen, oxygen and heat that included an electrochemical cell having a palladium cathode (i.e.-isotopic hydrogen storage cathode), an electrically conductive anode and a compartment for holding an ionically conducting electrolyte comprising water and a pulsed power supply for the electrochemical cell comprising a means for generating a repeating sequence of voltages across the anode and cathode.

Application/Control Number: 10/643,344

Art Unit: 1742

The difference between the claimed apparatus and the apparatus of Pons et al is that the pulsed power supply of Pons et al generates only a single voltage regime consisting of a voltage sufficient to enhance cathodic absorption of hydrogen. Thus, Pons et al fail to teach a second voltage regime consisting of at least one voltage pulse which is at least two times the voltage of the first cell voltage regime in magnitude with a duration not greater than 0.10 seconds.

Spaepen et al teach (see abstract) a method of influencing an electrocatalytic reaction proceeding at an electrode. The method included superimposing a voltage regime of pulses upon the cell voltage to enhance the efficiency of the cell. Spaepen et al further teach a pulsed power supply for providing the voltage regime of pulses.

Spaepen et al expressly disclose (see col. 1, lines 52-57 and col. 2, line 49 to col. 4, line 22) using the process with the oxidation of methanol on a platinum electrode or the oxidation of hydrogen, hydrazine or ammonia on an alloy electrode. However, Spaepen et al further teach (see col. 4, lines 23-35) that the principle of the invention would aptly apply in any electrocatalytic reaction where the at least two reactions occur at an electrode and the overall reaction included a series of partial reactions occurring at the electrode, the voltage pulse regime could be used to favor one reaction product versus another to preferentially form a desired product as opposed to forming the undesired product.

It is noted that Pons et al teach (see page 25) that multiple reactions occur at the cathode of the cell, some forming the desirable adsorbed hydrogen/deuterium, and others forming undesired hydrogen/deuterium gas.

Application/Control Number: 10/643,344 Page 4

Art Unit: 1742

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have added the pulsed power supply of Spaepen et al to the apparatus of Pons et al for executing not only the voltage regime taught by Pons et al (simple pulsed voltage), but further to apply the potential pulse train taught by Spaepen et al because Spaepen et al teach that the potential pulse train was capable of allowing preferential formation of a desired product when multiple reaction products could be formed at an electrode.

Regarding the fact that the voltage pulse was of a magnitude at least twice the size of the first voltage regime and the duration was no longer than 0.10 seconds, the pulsed power supply of Spaepen et al would have been capable of operating with the claimed operating parameters. Since these parameters are related to the manner of operation of the claimed apparatus, they have not been given patentable weight since the apparatus of Pons et al in view of Spaepen et al would have been fully capable of operating in the claimed fashion. See MPEP 2114.

Regarding claims 29, 30, 33-41, each of these claims are related to the manner of operation of the claimed apparatus, thus, they have not been given patentable weight since the apparatus of Pons et al in view of Spaepen et al would have been fully capable of operating in the claimed fashion. See MPEP 2114.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 42-48 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Art Unit: 1742

6. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art does not reasonably suggest the disclosed pulsed power supply including four individual power supplies, an oscillator, a counter and a decoder.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Harry D. Wilkins, III whose telephone number is 571-272-1251. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy V. King can be reached on 571-272-1244. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Hadry D Wilkins, III

Examiner Art Unit 1742

hdw